

Executive Director
DEANNA (DEE) ROLEWICZ

President
DIANNE M. FETZER

Vice President
MICHELE BROWN

Treasurer
MARY MOLINARO

Secretary
DORIE A. ROGERS

Past President
JILL L. BARR

Journal Editor
NAGHMEH BASHAR

Associate Journal Editor
STEPHEN MONTAGNA

Legislative Director
AVI LEVY

Associate Legislative Director
JUSTIN O'CONNELL

Technology Director
DAVID M. LEDERMAN

Associate Technology Director
HENRY LEWIS

Education Director
SHERRY PETERSON

Membership and Benefits Director
KELLY CHANG RICKERT

Outreach Director
RICHARD KLIEVER

Regional Director
JASON M. SCHWARTZ

Chapter Director (1)
MELINDA SAMMIS

Associate Chapter Director (1)
KELLY ROBBINS

Chapter Director (2)
FREDRICK S. (RICK) COHEN

Associate Chapter Director (2)
JENNIFER HEMMER

Chapter Director (3)
COURTNEY SHEPARD

Associate Chapter Director (3)
CATHERINE GOODROW

Coordinating Director (1)
CHRISTOPHER MELCHER

Coordinating Director (2)
DEBRA FRANK

Coordinating Director (3)
DIANE WASZNICKY

Administrative Assistant
RACHELLE SANTIAGO

REPLY TO:

Avi Levy

avi@TLFamLaw.com

(310) 453-6226

April 6, 2020

The Honorable Assembly Member Megan Dahle
Member of the Assembly, 1st Assembly District
State Capitol, Suite #4208
Sacramento, CA 94249

Re: AB 2745 (Dahle) as Introduced on February 20, 2020
Position: Support

Dear Assembly Member Dahle,

On behalf of the California Association of Certified Family Law Specialists, a non-profit organization with 660 members who are certified as family law specialists by the State Bar of California, Board of Legal Specialization, I write to support Assembly Bill 2745, as introduced.

Existing law, the Uniform Parentage Act, governs actions to determine a parent and child relationship. These provisions authorize a local child support agency to bring an action under the act in any case in which the agency determines it to be appropriate. Existing law also provides that, notwithstanding any other law concerning public hearings and records, a hearing or trial under the act may be held in closed court, as specified, and all papers and records, other than the final judgment, pertaining to the action or proceeding are subject to inspection only in exceptional cases upon an order of the court for good cause shown. However, this provision also provides that papers and records pertaining to an action or proceeding that are part of the permanent record of the court are subject to inspection by the parties to the action and their attorneys, pursuant to written authorization, as specified.

However, existing law requires a level of secrecy in child custody and/or child support cases involving never married parents that is not required in cases involved married or formerly married parents. This secrecy harks from a time when there may have been stigma associated with illegitimate birth or for those born out of wedlock. Today, however, there is no such stigma and even the terms “illegitimate birth” and “born out of wedlock” are archaic.

AB 2745 is intended to eliminate the confidentiality of parentage actions which currently exists under Section 7643 of the *Family Code* by correcting an inconsistency that exists among the various Family Law types of actions. This update to the law puts the relatively similar parentage and divorce cases on an equal field regarding access. Allowing access to these files would also aid other litigants with related cases. For example, if a domestic violence victim is seeking a restraining order in their Dissolution action and the other party has a DV order from a UPA case, under the current law the DV victim in the Dissolution cannot access the TRO in the UPA case because they are not a party to that case. However, if the DV order was a part of a Dissolution action that information would be available to the unmarried litigant. The UPA/DV cases may contain crucial information relevant to the Dissolution DV case. If passed, AB 2745 will ensure that information from parentage actions which could be relevant in other proceedings (e.g. domestic violence restraining orders and financial information) will be available to interested parties. It will also ease the financial burden on litigants in UPA actions by enabling counsel to access and review parentage actions when consulting potential clients or reviewing documents electronically.

ACFLS understands that lack of confidentiality of these parentage cases may cause some concern, but there are still means to keep information confidential. The shift in the law is not to expose sensitive information such as mental health or personally identifying information (ex. social security numbers) to the public. That information can still be protected through other avenues, just like in other types of family law cases involving children of married parents and proceedings initiated by local child support agencies. There is no legitimate reason why children of unmarried parents should be treated differently or unequally to children of married parents.

For these reasons, ACFLS supports AB 2745.

Respectfully submitted,



AVI LEVY, CFLS

Legislative Director, ACFLS